

Modal Logic

Final Exam

Phil 76600

Fall 2015

Do any four of the following.

1. In the propositional modal logic \mathbf{K} , two of the following are provable, one is not. Give tableau proofs for those that are, and a counter-model for the one that is not.

- (a) $\Box(P \supset \Box\Box R) \supset \Box(P \supset \Box(\Diamond Q \supset \Diamond R))$
 (b) $\Box(P \supset \Box\Box R) \supset \Box(P \supset \Box(Q \supset \Diamond R))$
 (c) $\Box\Box(Q \supset \Diamond R) \supset \Box(P \supset \Box(Q \supset \neg\Box\neg R))$

2. You are to evaluate the validity of the following formula, where the underlying propositional modal logic is \mathbf{K} .

$$(\forall x)\Box[P(x) \wedge Q(x)] \supset [\Diamond(\forall x)P(x) \vee \Box(\exists x)Q(x)]$$

Decide whether the formula is valid for actualist quantification, and also for possibilist quantification. If valid, give a tableau proof using the appropriate rules. If not valid, give a counter-example using the appropriate condition on domains.

3. Consider the following two formulas. To make reading easier, we have written Px instead of $P(x)$.

- (a) $\langle \lambda y. \Box \langle \lambda x. x = y \rangle (c) \rangle (c) \supset [\Diamond \langle \lambda x. Px \rangle (c) \supset \langle \lambda x. \Diamond Px \rangle (c)]$
 (b) $\langle \lambda y. \Box \langle \lambda x. x = y \rangle (c) \rangle (c) \supset [\langle \lambda x. \Diamond Px \rangle (c) \supset \Diamond \langle \lambda x. Px \rangle (c)]$

Using \mathbf{K} tableau rules *where c might not designate at every possible world*, which are provable? Give the proofs for those cases in which a proof exists. (Don't prove the ones that are not provable.)

4. This one involves classical logic only. Give a tableau proof of the following, using Russell's way of handling definite descriptions.

$$\langle \lambda x. Q(x) \rangle (\iota x. P(x)) \supset (\forall x)[P(x) \supset Q(x)]$$

5. Consider the following formulas, where the modal logic is \mathbf{K} .

- (a) $\langle \lambda x. P(x) \rangle (\iota x. \Box P(x)) \supset \langle \lambda x. \Box P(x) \rangle (\iota x. \Box P(x))$
 (b) $\langle \lambda x. P(x) \rangle (\iota x. \Box P(x)) \supset \Box \langle \lambda x. P(x) \rangle (\iota x. \Box P(x))$

Assume *constant domains*. Find one that is provable and give a proof. Use Russell's way of handling definite descriptions. Tell me what you think of the other one. (Be polite).